



Grundtvig Learning Partnership
AGEING WELL: DON'T HESITATE, BE ACTIVE!



Report of evaluation



Made by EDUNET, ROMANIA




This project has been funded with support from the European Commission.
This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

Evaluation strategy


Types of Evaluation



Evaluation of the impact (in each country)




Criteria for evaluation of the outputs (impact on the target group)



Progress Evaluation

Progress Evaluation (for the Interim report) Criteria



Main conclusions of the Midd-term Evaluation

The project is developing well

The target groups and the stakeholders were involved in the project activities

The participants benefit the project in each country


The planned activities, strategies and interventions were effective

The cooperation and communication among partnership were good

The whole management of the project was suitable

Final evaluation

Criteria for Summative Evaluation



Main conclusions of the Final Evaluation

The project cover the scope that was promised

The proposed outputs were obtained

The activities match those in the project plan

The staff members did what was intended

The appropriate participants were selected and trained

The project is progressed according to the plan

The management plan was followed

Final Process evaluation


Number of questionnaires: 20

1. Indicator 1 : Partnership cooperation and communication

Questions :

- a) I consider the partnership as successful.
- b) I am satisfied with the partnership as a whole.
- c) There are some difficulties in cooperation.
- d) The communication within partnership is effective and on regular basis.
- e) I am satisfied with the communication within partnership.
- f) The working environment among partners is on good level.

Results:




1. Indicator 2 : Project management

Questions :

- a) The management of the project is suitable.
- b) The support and assistance from coordinator is adequate.
- c) The partners fulfill their roles.
- d) Information about tasks and responsibilities is clear and understandable to me.
- e) Quality of operational documents (agendas, reports, etc.) is on high level.
- f) As a partner I know what my role within the partnership is
- g) Events and meetings are organized in suitable time and agreed in advance.
- h) I do participate at and actively contribute to project meetings

Results:




Indicator 3 : Objectives and Aims

Questions :

- a) The main objective and aim of the project is clearly described and understandable to me.
- b) Existing results correspond to the initial objectives of the project.
- c) There is applied the innovative approach to project aims' achievement.
- d) There have occurred some obstacles in achieving the project aims.

Results:




1. Indicator 4 : Project activities

Questions :

- a) The volume of the work and activities is balanced among partnership.
- b) I do follow established deadlines.
- c) I do execute given tasks on time and in requested forms.
- d) The progress of the work does not follow the expectations.
- e) There are some innovative outcomes of the project.
- f) My contribution on the development of project outputs' is accordance to the agreement.
- g) Quality of developed learning materials is on high level.

Results:



Mid-term Process evaluation


Number of questionnaires: 22

1. Indicator 1 : Partnership cooperation and communication

Questions :

- g) I consider the partnership as successful.
- h) I am satisfied with the partnership as a whole.
- i) There are some difficulties in cooperation.
- j) The communication within partnership is effective and on regular basis.
- k) I am satisfied with the communication within partnership.
- l) The working environment among partners is on good level.

Results:




1. Indicator 2 : Project management

Questions :

- i) The management of the project is suitable.
- j) The support and assistance from coordinator is adequate.
- k) The partners fulfill their roles.
- l) Information about tasks and responsibilities is clear and understandable to me.
- m) Quality of operational documents (agendas, reports, etc.) is on high level.
- n) As a partner I know what my role within the partnership is
- o) Events and meetings are organized in suitable time and agreed in advance.
- p) I do participate at and actively contribute to project meetings

Results:




Indicator 3 : Objectives and Aims

Questions :

- e) The main objective and aim of the project is clearly described and understandable to me.
- f) Existing results correspond to the initial objectives of the project.
- g) There is applied the innovative approach to project aims' achievement.
- h) There have occurred some obstacles in achieving the project aims.

Results:




1. Indicator 4 : Project activities

Questions :

- h) The volume of the work and activities is balanced among partnership.
- i) I do follow established deadlines.
- j) I do execute given tasks on time and in requested forms.
- k) The progress of the work does not follow the expectations.
- l) There are some innovative outcomes of the project.
- m) My contribution on the development of project outputs' is accordance to the agreement.
- n) Quality of developed learning materials is on high level.

Results:



Meetings's evaluation

MEETING IN POLAND, 14-17 November 2012


Number of questionnaires: 16

Indicator 1 : Meeting arrangements

Questions :

- a) The overall meeting objectives were clear
- b) Meeting agenda was fulfilled
- c) The material distributed prior to the meetings was helpful
- d) The meeting leadership helped to achieve the meeting objectives
- e) Infrastructure was satisfactory (Computer rooms, sound system, screens, rooms arrangement)


Results:



Indicator 2 : Meeting sessions

Questions :

- a) The meeting sessions achieved their objectives
- b) Sufficient time was allocated to the meeting sessions
- c) The meeting sessions were conducted in a satisfactory manner
- d) The presentations were useful for the meeting objectives
- e) Resolutions correctly reflect the meeting discussions



MEETING IN ROMANIA , 17-20 April 2013


Number of questionnaires: 22

Indicator 1 : Meeting arrangements

Questions :

- f) The overall meeting objectives were clear
- g) Meeting agenda was fulfilled
- h) The material distributed prior to the meetings was helpful
- i) The meeting leadership helped to achieve the meeting objectives
- j) Infrastructure was satisfactory (Computer rooms, sound system, screens, rooms arrangement)

Results:




Indicator 2 : Meeting sessions

Questions :

- f) The meeting sessions achieved their objectives
- g) Sufficient time was allocated to the meeting sessions
- h) The meeting sessions were conducted in a satisfactory manner
- i) The presentations were useful for the meeting objectives
- j) Resolutions correctly reflect the meeting discussions

Results:



MEETING IN LITUHANIA, 3-5 July 2013


Number of questionnaires: 23

Indicator 1 : Meeting arrangements

Questions :

- k) The overall meeting objectives were clear
- l) Meeting agenda was fulfilled
- m) The material distributed prior to the meetings was helpful
- n) The meeting leadership helped to achieve the meeting objectives
- o) Infrastructure was satisfactory (Computer rooms, sound system, screens, rooms arrangement)

Results:




Indicator 2 : Meeting sessions

Questions :

- k) The meeting sessions achieved their objectives
- l) Sufficient time was allocated to the meeting sessions
- m) The meeting sessions were conducted in a satisfactory manner
- n) The presentations were useful for the meeting objectives
- o) Resolutions correctly reflect the meeting discussions

Results:



MEETING IN LGREECE, 16-19 October 2013


Number of questionnaires:19

Indicator 1 : Meeting arrangements

Questions :

- p) The overall meeting objectives were clear
- q) Meeting agenda was fulfilled
- r) The material distributed prior to the meetings was helpful
- s) The meeting leadership helped to achieve the meeting objectives
- t) Infrastructure was satisfactory (Computer rooms, sound system, screens, rooms arrangement)

Results:




Indicator 2 : Meeting sessions

Questions :

- p) The meeting sessions achieved their objectives
- q) Sufficient time was allocated to the meeting sessions
- r) The meeting sessions were conducted in a satisfactory manner
- s) The presentations were useful for the meeting objectives
- t) Resolutions correctly reflect the meeting discussions

Results:



MEETING IN FRANCE , 10-14 June 2014


Number of questionnaires: 14

Indicator 1 : Meeting arrangements

Questions :

- u) The overall meeting objectives were clear
- v) Meeting agenda was fulfilled
- w) The material distributed prior to the meetings was helpful
- x) The meeting leadership helped to achieve the meeting objectives
- y) Infrastructure was satisfactory (Computer rooms, sound system, screens, rooms arrangement)

Results:



Indicator 2 : Meeting sessions

Questions :

- u) The meeting sessions achieved their objectives
- v) Sufficient time was allocated to the meeting sessions
- w) The meeting sessions were conducted in a satisfactory manner
- x) The presentations were useful for the meeting objectives
- y) Resolutions correctly reflect the meeting discussions

Results:

